

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/691,205 10/21/2003		Arthur L. Holden	FGTI-P02-004	5634		
28120	7590	04/06/2005		EXAMINER		
FISH & N. ROPES & O			OUELLETTE, JONATHAN P			
		NAL PLACE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BOSTON,	MA 021	10-2624	3629			
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application	n No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			10/691,20	5	HOLDEN, ARTHUR L.					
			Examiner		Art Unit					
			Jonathan (3629					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status			٠							
1)🖾 🛚	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>21 O</u>	ctober 2003	3.						
2a)□ 1) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.									
Dispositio	on of Claims	•								
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.										
Application	on Papers									
9)[] 1	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examine	r.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.										
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119										
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u>20031021</u> .			4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	'O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/691,205 Page 2

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

- 1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).
- 2. A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).
- 3. Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).
- 4. <u>Claims 1-20</u> are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-19 of U. S. Patent No. 6,640,211 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.
- 5. The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter,

Application/Control Number: 10/691,205 Page 3

Art Unit: 3629

as follows: storing genetic profile information and providing automated testing on the genetic profile information if access is allowed.

- 6. The step of removing access parameters as proposed in the new applicant would be obviously covered by the claimed elements in the 6,640,211 patent.
- 7. Furthermore, the step of renaming a user's profile developed from genetic information from "genetic profile" to "profile" would be nonfunctional descriptive material and would not functionally be involved in the steps recited in the claimed invention. The genetic testing system would be performed regardless of the name of the profile. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, *see In re Gulack*, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983); *In re Lowry*, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPO2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994).
- 8. Finally, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application, which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 9. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 10. <u>Claims 9-20</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/691,205

Art Unit: 3629

Claim 9 recites the limitation "the requested medical test;" however, a request to access a 11.

Page 4

stored genetic profile of a patient was made earlier in the claim, not a request for a medical test.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 15 recites the limitation "the profile;" however, "genetic profiles" were stored 12.

previously in the database. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 13.

examiner should be directed to Jonathan Ouellette whose telephone number is (703) 605-0662

((571) 272-6807 effective April 13, 2005). The examiner can normally be reached on Monday

through Thursday, 8am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's 14.

supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (703) 308-2702. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned (703) 872-9306 for all official

communications.

15. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-5484.

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

per ch